中华人民共和国国家知识产权局

邮政编码: 香港湾仔港湾道 23 号鹰君中心 22 字楼 中国专利代理(香港)有限公司 叶恺东 申 请号: 发文日期: 00137275.0 | 部门及通知书类型: 代 理 人: 叶恺东 申 请 人: 夏普公司 发明名称: 液晶表示装置及其制造方法 第一次审查意见通知书 1. 🛛 申请人于 2000 年 12 月 16 日 提出了实审请求,根据专利法第 35 条第 1 款的规定,审查员对上述发明专利申 请进行实质审查。 □ 根据专利法第35条第2款的规定,国家知识产权局决定自行对上述发明专利申请进行审查。 2. 🔯 申请人要求以其在: 专利局的申请日 1999 年 12 月 16 日 为优先权日, 专利局的申请目 为优先权日, AN 0 9, 2003 专利局的申请目 为优先权日, HARA KENZO PALENT 专利局的申请日 为优先权日, 专利局的申请日 为优先权日, 🔯 申请人已经提交了经原申请国受理机关证明的第一次提出的在先申请文件的副本。 ___ □ 申请人尚未提交经原申请国受理机关证明的第一次提出的在先申请文件的副本,根据专利法第30条的规定视为 未提出优先权要求。 3. □ 申请人于______年__月__日和_____年__月__日提交了修改文件, ___年__月__日提交的____不能被接受; 修改不能被接受的具体理由见通知书正文部分。 図 审查是针对原始申请文件进行的。 □ 市产是针对下述申请文件进行的: 申请日提交的原始申请文件的权利要求第____项、说明书第____页、附图第____页; 1 5 SEP 2003 ____年__月__日提交的说明书摘要。 5. 本通知书是在未进行检索的情况下作出的。 ☑ 本通知书是在进行了检索的情况下作出的。 ☑ 本通知书引用下述对比文献(其编号在今后的审查过程中继续沿用):

编号	文件号或名称	公 开 日 期 (或抵触申请的申请日)
i	JP4217231	1992年8月7日
.2	JP10209458	1998年08月7日
3		年 月 日
1		年 月 日

		年	月	H
1		年	月	日
្ញ វៀ	查的结论性意见:			
· [] 美于说明书:			
	□ 申请的内容属于专利法第5条规定的不授予专利权的范围。			
	□ 说则书不符合专利法第 26 条第 3 款的规定。			
	□ 说则书的撰写不符合实施细则第 18 条的规定。			
Σ	3 关于权利要求书:			
	□ 权利要求			
	□ 权利要求不符合实施细则第2条第1款关于发明的定义。			
	☑ 权利要求 7,10 不具备专利法第 22 条第 2 款规定的新颖性。			
*	□ 权利要求不具备专利法第 22 条第 3 款规定的创造性。			
	□ 权利要求不具备专利法第 22 条第 4 款规定的实用性。			
	□ 权利要求不符合专利法第 26 条第 4 款的规定。			
	□ 权利要求不符合专利法第 31 条第 1 款的规定。			
	☑ 权利要求 1.不符合实施细则第 20 条至第 23 条的规定。			
	□ 权利要求不符合专利法第9条的规定。			
	□ 权利要求不符合实施细则第 12 条第 1 款的规定。			
1:	述结论性意见的具体分析见本通知书的正文部分。			
块	于上述结论性意见,审查员认为:			
	申请人应按照通知书正文部分提出的要求,对申请文件进行修改。			
\boxtimes	中请人应在意见陈述书中论述其专利申请可以被授予专利权的理由,并对通知书正	文部分中指:	出的不	符合
	规定之处进行修改,否则将不能授予专利权。			
	专利申请中没有可以被授予专利权的实质性内容,如果申请人没有陈述理由或者陈	述理由不充分	}, 4	申
	请将被驳问。			
	<u> </u>	•		
() 根据专利法第37条的规定,申请人应在收到本通知书之日起的肆个月内陈	述意见,如果	申请	人
	无正当理由逾期不答复,其申请将被视为撤回。			
(]	申请人对其申请的修改应符合专利法第33条的规定,修改文本应一式两份,其	格式应符合审	查指	南
	的有关规定。			
(:) 申请人的意见陈述书和/或修改文本应邮寄或递交给国家知识产权局专利局受理。	处,凡未邮寄	或递	交
	给受理处的文件不具备法律效力。			
) 未经预约,申谐人和/或代理人不得前来国家知识产权局专利局与审查员举行会。	ű.		
	知书正文部分共有 2 页,并附有下述附件:			
	引用的对比文件的复印件共 <u>2 份 > > 页</u> 。			

7.

9.

第一次审查意见通知书正文

权利要求 7 不具备新颖性,不符合专利法第 22 条第 2 款的规定。对比文件 1(JP4217231)公开了一种薄膜晶体管矩阵基底及其制造方法,并具体公开了以下的技术特征"在薄膜晶体管矩阵基底上有一个薄膜晶体管,薄膜晶体管 至少有一个栅极、一个栅极绝缘膜、一个半导体层和源极及漏极,在连结栅极 和漏极的端部处分别形成连结到外电路的栅极总线和漏极总线,这些总线由导体氧化膜形成等"(参见该对比文件的摘要)。该权利要求所要求保护的技术方案与该对比文件所公开的内容相比,所不同的仅仅是文字表达方式上略有差 別,其技术方案实质上是相同的,且两者属于相同的技术领域,并能产生相同的技术效果,因此该权利要求不具备新颖性。

另外,对比文件 2(JP10209458)也公开了权利要求 7 的技术方案(参见说明书摘要),也致使本权利要求不具备新颖性,不符合专利法第 22 条第 2 款的规定。

权利要求 10 不具备新颖性,不符合专利法第 22 条第 2 款的规定。对比文件 1 公开了一种有源矩阵液晶显示装置的薄膜晶体管的制造方法,并具体公开了以下的技术特征"在透明绝缘基底上形成报名晶体管的漏电极布线,在栅极布线的顶部分布栅极绝缘膜,在栅极绝缘膜上设置半导体膜,利用抗蚀剂除去通道保护膜的局部区域等"(详情参见该对比文件的摘要)。该权利要求所要求保护的技术方案与该对比文件所公开的内容相比,所不同的仅仅是文字表达方式上略有差别,其技术方案实质上是相同的,且两者属于相同的技术领域,并能产生相同的技术效果,因此该权利要求不具备新颖性。

另外,权利要求1中存在不清楚的地方,如"…上述由线"等,不符合实施细则第20条第1款的规定(参见审查指南第二部分第二章第3.2.2节)。申请人应当删除上述措辞或者改用其它表达方式。如改用其他表达方式,申请人应当注意该表达方式在原申请文件中要有所记载。

申请人应当在本通知书指定的答复期限内作出答复,对本通知书提出的问题逐一进行答复,必要时应修改专利申请文件,否则本申请将难以获得批准。申请人对申请文件的修改应当符合专利法第33条的规定,不得超出原说明书和权利要求书记载的范围。

申请人在提交修改文本时应当提交:第一,修改涉及的那一部分原文的复印件,采用红色钢笔或红色圆珠笔在该复印件上标注出所作的增加、删除或替换:第二,重新打印的替换页,用于替换相应的原文。申请人应当确保上述两部分在内容上的一致性。

CPEL0053442

Patent Office of the People's Republic of China

Address: Receiving Section of the Chinese Patent Office, No. 6 Tucheng Road West, Haidian District, Beijing. Postal code: 100088

Applicant	SHARP KABUSHIKI KAISHA China Patent Agent (H.K.) Ltd.		Seal of Examiner	Date of Issue
Agent				April 30, 2003
Patent Application No.	00137275.0	Application December 16, Date 2000	Exam Dept.	
Title of LIQU	JID CRYSTAL	DISPLAY AND MANUFACTU	RING MET	HOD THEREOF

First Office Action

1. Pursuant to the provision of Article 35 (1) of the Chinese Patent Law, the examiner made an examination as to substance of the captioned patent application for invention upon the request for substantive examination filed by the applicant on December 16.2000 .
☐ Pursuant to the provision of Article 35 (2) of the Chinese Patent Law, the Chinese Patent Office has decided to conduct on its own initiative an examination as to substance of the captioned patent application for invention.
 2. The applicant requests taking the filing date, at the Patent Office, the filing date, , at the Patent Office, the filing date, , at the Patent Office as the priority date of the present application. A copy of the first filed patent application certified by the receiving organ of the initial country of filing has been submitted by the applicant. A copy of the first filed patent application certified by the receiving organ of the initial country of filing has not been submitted by the applicant. Pursuant to the provision of Article 30 of the Chinese Patent Law, no priority right shall be deemed to have been claimed.
3. The applicant filed amended application document(s) onand
Examination has confirmed that

2201

1

the Office Action.

[] 1 ii (c F	ne examination is conducted in the light of the original he examination is conducted in the light of the folking the original application documents submitted on, page(s), page(s), page(s), page(s), page(s), page(s), page(s), submitted on; of the description, Figure(s), submitted on, but the description of the description submitted on, page(s), submitted on, page(s), submitted on, page(s), page(s), submitted on, page(s), submitted on, page(s), submitted on, page(s), page(s)	owing application document(s): nitted on the filing date: of the description, Figure(s) of the description, Claim(s), page (s)
図	The present Office Action has been prepared vonducted. The present Office Action has been prepared tonducted.	
ØI	he following reference document(s) is/are cited in umber(s) will, continue to be used throughout the e	•
No.	Number or Title of Document	Date of Publication (or filing date of interfering application)
1	JP 4217231	(Date) August 7. 1992
2	JP 10209458	(Date) August 7. 1998
3		(Date)
4 5		
5		
6		
6. The	concluding comments of the examiner are:	
	the description:	
	he content of the application comes within the s	cope where no patent right is
_	ranted as provided in Article 5 of the Patent Law.	
La		. ,
lr	ne drafting of the description is not in conformity wit nplementing Regulations.	h the provision of Rule 18 of the
	the claims:	
2	laim comes within the scope where no patent right 5 of the Patent Law.	,
	Claim is not in conformity with the definition of	invention in Rule 2(1) of the
	nplementing Regulations.	assistant in Anti-La CO(O) (1)
	Claim <u>7, 10</u> does not possess novelty as p tent Law.	orovided in Afficie 22(2) of the
	claim does not possess inventiveness as p	provided in Adiclo 22/21 of the
	atent Law.	Divided in Afficie 22(3) of the
	laim does not possess practical applicabili	ty as provided in Article 22(4) of
	ne Patent Law.	., p

	□ Claim is not in conformity with the provision of Article 26(4) of the Patentaw.
	□ Claim is not in conformity with the provision of Article 31(1) of the Patent Law.
	☑ Claim is not in conformity with the provisions of Rules 20-23 of the Implementing Regulations.
	☐ Claim is not in conformity with the provision of Article 9 of the Patent Law. ☐ Claim is not in conformity of the provision of Rule 12(1) of the Implementing Regulations.
Fc	or specific analyses of the above concluding comments, see the text of this Office Action.
7.	In view of the above concluding comments, the examiner holds that:
	The applicant should amend the application document in accordance with the requirements raised in the text of this Office Action. The amended document(s) should be submitted in duplicate and should conform to the provisions of Article 33 of the Patent Law and Rule 51 of the Implementing Regulations of the Chinese Patent Law.
Σ Ι	The applicant should expound in his Observations the reasons why the captioned patent application is patentable and amend the places not conforming to regulations as pointed out in the text of the Office Action, otherwise it would be impossible for the patent right to be granted.
	The captioned patent application contains no substantive content for which the patent right may be granted, thus if the applicant has not advanced his reasons or has not done so adequately, the application will be rejected.
8.	 The applicant should pay attention to the following matters: (1) In accordance with the provision of Article 37 of the Patent Law, the applicant should submit his/its Observations within four months from the date of receipt of this Office Action; if, without any justified reason, the time limit for making response is not met, the application will be deemed to have been withdrawn.
	(2) The amendments made by the applicant to his application should conform to the provision of Article 33 of the Patent Law, the amended text should be in duplicate and the format should conform to the relevant provisions of the Guidelines for Examination.
	(3) The applicant's Observations or amended text should be mailed or presented to the Receiving Section of the Chinese Patent Office. Document no mailed or
	presented to the Acceptance Section have no legal force. (4) Without making an appointment, the applicant and/or agent may not come to the Chinese Patent Office to hold an interview with the examiner.
۶.	This Office Action consists of the text portion totalling 2 page(s) and of the following annex(es):
2 1	2 duplicate copies of the reference document(s) cited totalling 22 page(s).

00137275.0 CPEL0053442

A Translation of the First Office Action

Claim 7 does not have novelty, and therefore does not meet the requirement under Article 22(2) of the Patent Law. Cited Reference 1 (JP4217231) discloses a thin film transistor matrix substrate and a method of manufacturing the same. Moreover, Cited Reference 1 specifically discloses the following technical features: thin film transistors are provided on the thin film transistor matrix substrate; each thin film transistor at least includes a gate electrode, a gate electrode insulating film, a semiconductor layer, a source electrode, and a drain electrode; there are formed a gate bus line and a drain bus line that are respectively connected to the gate electrode and to the drain electrode and that are connected to an external circuit at respective terminal sections; and the gate bus line and the drain bus line are made up of a conductive oxidized film (See the abstract of Cited Reference 1). If the technique claimed in claim 7 and the content of Cited Reference 1 are different, it is only in wording. These two techniques are substantively the same. Moreover, the two techniques fall under the same technical field, and have the same technical effects. Therefore, claim 7 does not have novelty, and does not meet the requirement under Article 22(2) of the Patent Law.

Cited Reference 2 (JP10209458) discloses the technique of claim 7 (See the abstract of the specification). Therefore, claim 7 does not have novelty, and does not meet the requirement under Article 22(2) of the Patent Law.

Claim 10 does not have novelty, and therefore does not meet the requirement under Article 22(2) of the Patent Law. Cited Reference 1 (JP4217231) discloses a thin film transistor matrix substrate and a method of manufacturing the same. Moreover, Cited Reference 1 specifically discloses the following technical features: a drain electrode wire of each thin film transistor is formed on a transparent insulating substrate; the gate electrode insulating film is disposed over a gate electrode wire; the semiconductor film is disposed on the gate electrode insulating film; and a local region of a channel protection film is removed by a resist process (See the abstract of Cited Reference 1). If the technique claimed in claim 10 and the content of Cited Reference 1 are different, it is only in wording. These two techniques are substantively the same. Moreover, the two techniques fall under the same technical field, and have the same technical effects. Therefore, claim

10 does not have novelty, and does not meet the requirement under Article 22(2) of the Patent Law.

Claim 1 is not clear, such as '.....said 由线'etc., and not in conformity with the provision of Rule 20(1) of the implementing regulations. The application should delete these words or make it in suitable expression. If you express it in another way, please make it sure that the content has already been described in original application documents.

The applicant should file a response within the time period specified in this Office Action. The response should deal with all items in this Office Action. If necessary, the application documents should be amended. Should the applicant fail to follow the above instructions, the present application shall be rejected. Pursuant to Article 33 of the Patent Law, no amendment to the application documents shall be allowed, if it is made beyond the scope of the original specification and claims.

Any amended document should be submitted with the following: 1. A copy of the amended parts of the original document. Any added, deleted or replaced word should be indicated with a red pen or red ballpoint pen. 2. A newly created page that should replace a corresponding original page. These pages should be consistent in contents.